UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,282	08/31/2006	Tae-Sook Jeong	428.1075	9406
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 08/05/200 RCANTI, LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			DAVIS, DEBORAH A	
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/591,282	JEONG ET AL.			
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	DEBORAH A. DAVIS	1655			
Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sneet with t	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply cation. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>28 <i>April 2008</i>.</u>				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are with 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E.	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority doc					
	cuments have been received in Appl				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> </ol>	he priority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eived.			
	, ,				
Attachment(s)	🗖				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>	4) LInterview Sumr -948) Paper No(s)/M:	mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1 and 6-8, in the reply filed on April 28, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). All other claims are withdrawn from consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a composition for the treatment of cardiovascular disease does not reasonably provide enablement for the prevention thereof. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant's have reasonable demonstrated/disclosed that the claimed composition is useful as a therapeutic agent for treating cardiovascular disease. However, the claims also encompass using the claimed composition for prevention of cardiovascular disease, which is clearly beyond the scope of the instantly disclosed/claimed invention. Please note that preventing cardiovascular disease

Art Unit: 1655

requires a higher standard for enablement than does treating the disease, especially since it is notoriously well accepted in the medical art that the vast number majority of afflictions/disorders suffered by mankind cannot be prevented with current therapies, including those involving cardiovascular disease. Applicant is invited to show support to obviate this rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harrison et al., (Phytochemistry, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1987, pp. 1211-1212).

The claims are drawn to a composition for the treatment of cardiovascular disease containing any one of compounds represented by the following formula 1 to formula 5 disclosed in the instant claim 6.

The reference of Harrison et al anticipates the claim the claims by disclosing an extract from the leaf of Torreya nucifera in which a diterpenoid compound which has been isolated. The diterpenoid compound is represented by the formula in Table 1; wherein the "R1" group is a methyl, as instantly claimed (see page 1211, Table 1, experimental section, e.g.). Please note, that the cited art of Harrison would be capable of performing the intended use (i.e. treating of cardiovascular disease) because there is not a structural difference between the reference composition and the instantly claimed invention.

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the invention defined by the cited claims.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flores et al., (Bol. Soc. Chil. Quim. Vol. 46, No. 1, March 2001).

The claim is drawn to a composition for the treatment of cardiovascular disease containing any one of the compounds represented by the following formula 1 to formula 5, disclosed in the instant claim 6, wherein (R can be a methyl, hydroxymethyl, aldehyde, methylester).

The reference of Flores et al. anticipates the instant claims by disclosing a methanolic composition formed from the bark of Prumnopytis andina that contains a ferruginol compound -represented by structure 2, in Figure 1; wherein the "R" position is a methyl group, as instantly claimed. Please note, that the cited art of Flores would be capable of performing the intended use (i.e. treating of cardiovascular disease) because there is not a structural difference between the reference composition and the instantly claimed invention.

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the invention defined by the cited claims.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is allowable. The prior art neither teaches nor suggest an abietane diterpenoid compound wherein R is dimethoxmethyl) - as defined by instant claim 1.

Art Unit: 1655

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBORAH A. DAVIS whose telephone number is (571)272-0818. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deborah A. Davis, Patent Examiner, AU 1655 July 2008 /Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655